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Abstract

Metaplastic squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) of the breast is a very rare form of breast malignancy accounting for less than 0.1% of all breast carcinomas. We report a case of primary SCC of the breast in a 67-year-old Asian woman. Our purpose for this review is to enable a better understanding of the disease and to find an optimal approach to therapy.
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Introduction

Metaplastic carcinoma accounts for 0.25% to 1% of annual breast malignancies diagnoses. Primary metaplastic squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) of the breast is a very rare breast malignancy, accounting for less than 0.1% of all breast carcinomas. SCC was first reported in 1908, and diagnosis is established when more than 90% of the malignant cells are of squamous cell origin. Squamous cell carcinoma is considered to be more aggressive compared with other infiltrating ductal cancers, and knowledge regarding treatment patterns and outcomes has been limited. To confirm a diagnosis of primary SCC of the breast, the following conditions must be fulfilled: (1) absence of an associated primary SCC in a second site, (2) absence of skin involvement, and (3) there must be a clear predominance (>90%) of areas with SCC at histologic examination. Clinical and radiographic characteristics are not specific, and tumors are usually estrogen receptor (ER)-, progesterone receptor (PR)-, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative. As management options are still limited, the prognosis and optimal treatments remain controversial. In this report, we will present a case of this rare breast malignancy.

Case Presentation

A 67-year-old previously healthy Asian woman was referred to our clinic for a newly diagnosed right breast cancer. The patient stated that prior to the screening mammogram, she had no pain, swelling, or nipple discharge. She also never had breast abnormality on mammogram; therefore, she never had a biopsy of any kind. She denied any family history of breast cancer, colon cancer, or ovarian cancer. The patient has no history of skin cancer, nor did she have any skin, oral pharynx, or anal lesions. Per the patient, her very first mammogram was done in 2011, which showed normal results. The most recent screening mammogram was done on October 2, 2015, which found asymmetrical breast parenchyma within the upper-outer anterior right breast, without prior studies for comparison. Her assessment after this screening was BI-RADS 0. A diagnostic mammogram was ordered, and the findings showed a suspicious mass measuring 1.9 x 0.9 x 1.4 cm with associated calcifications in the right breast at the 11:00 position, 3 cm from the nipple with an assessment of BI-RADS 4 (Figures 1A and 1B).

The patient then underwent an ultrasound-guided vacuum-assisted core needle biopsy. Pathology results from the biopsy showed metaplastic SCC that was ER-positive, PR-negative, and HER2/neu-negative. The patient was then referred to our clinic for surgical evaluation. Physical examination revealed a benign bilateral exam with no palpable masses.

Right simple mastectomy with sentinel lymph node biopsy and 2 19F Jackson-Pratt drain placements were performed. Gross examination revealed a 2.4 x 2.0 x 1.3-cm firm, pink-tan, lobulated mass within the central, slightly lateral aspect of the right breast specimen. The specimen was confirmed to be a single-focus, invasive SCC (metaplastic carcinoma), with a total Nottingham score of 9/9. Areas of local invasion and necrosis can be observed microscopically in Figures 2A and 2B. Microscopic examination of the mass demonstrated SCC with keratinization (Figure 2). The margins and regional lymph nodes were negative. Ductal carcinoma in situ and lymph-vascular invasion were not identified. Additional findings were florid usual duct epithelial hyperplasia, biopsy site change, and skin with seborrheic keratosis and scar. There was no involvement of the skin, nipple, or skeletal muscle. Two sentinel nodes were biopsied and were negative for carcinoma. Pathology staging of the specimen was pT2pN0.

At the 1-week, postoperative follow-up visit, the patient ap-
peared to be alert and not in distress. She and the oncologist dis-
cussed that adjuvant chemotherapy treatment would be benefi-
cial given the nature and rarity of the diagnosis. The patient has
completed 4 cycles of docetaxel plus cyclophosphamide, with a
baseline computed tomography scan and bone scan at the time
this study was written. At the 1-year follow-up visit, the patient
reported that she was doing well and was currently taking letro-
zole, and reports little to no side effects. The 1-year follow-up
screening mammogram shows scattered areas of fibroglandular
density with no suspicious malignancy findings present.

Discussion
Breast cancer remains the most commonly diagnosed cancer
among women, with approximately 182,000 diagnosed annually
in the United States alone. Metaplastic breast carcinoma is an
adenocarcinoma characterized by a histologic combination of
mesenchymal and epithelial cell origin. It accounts for less than
1% of all breast cancers and is considered to be relatively rare
compared with invasive ductal carcinoma. Many theories are
involved in the histogenesis of SCC of the breast; however, it still
remains unclear. The mean age at presentation of SCC of the
breast is 54 years. The 5-year disease-free rate can be estimated
around 40%, with an overall survival rate for metaplastic breast
cancer to be in the range of 49% to 68%.

Breast SCC tends to be a relatively large, rapidly growing mass
that can become palpable within 2 to 3 weeks (range, 2-5 cm;
median, 4 cm). However, in this case, the mass was never pal-
pable on self-exam or upon physical examination. There also are
no unique radiographic imaging features found for metaplastic
SCC of the breast. A metaplastic carcinoma mass typically is ei-
ther circumscribed or presents as an indistinct, very dense mass—
with infrequent calcifications.

More than 90% of metaplastic SCC of the breast is considered
triple-negative (TNBC), which is generally expected as most SCC
of the breast has the basal-like molecular subtype. The main
characteristics of TNBC have shown similarities to basal-like can-
cers, including an increased frequency in younger patients (age
<50 years) and a greater prevalence among African-American
women, with the majority presenting as interval cancers and be-
ing significantly more aggressive than tumors of other molecular
subtypes. However, basal-like and TNBC are not synonymous.

The management of metaplastic breast cancer has largely par-

FIGURE 1. Metaplastic squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) of the right breast in a 67-year-old Asian female. (A) Right
magnification mediolateral oblique diagnostic mammogram shows a suspicious mass measuring 1.9 x 0.9 x 1.4 cm
with associated calcifications before biopsy. (B) Right mediolateral mammogram was performed post-biopsy.
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alleled that of invasive ductal carcinoma management, despite growing research and clinical support that metaplastic breast cancers lie more along the spectrum of basal-like breast cancers. Patients with metaplastic TNBC receiving identical chemotherapy regimens as patients with invasive ductal carcinoma showed a poor 3-year disease-free survival rate.\textsuperscript{7,14,15} In this case, the patient was PR- and HER2/neu-negative but ER-positive, which has been associated with improved relapse-free survival.\textsuperscript{2} This improvement in survival may derive from the increased range of treatment options, namely ER-targeted therapy.

The case patient is receiving adjuvant chemotherapy with docetaxel in combination with cyclophosphamide, based on experiences derived from other reports.\textsuperscript{16,17} She is currently being treated with an aromatase inhibitor after completion of adjuvant chemotherapy, because of her ER-positivity, which is in concordance with another case study.\textsuperscript{12} However, another report showed that 7 of 9 patients who received adjuvant chemotherapy developed recurrent disease. Therefore, a standard regimen for invasive ductal carcinoma may be not be effective for metaplastic breast carcinoma. However, those patients who have received adjuvant chemotherapy have demonstrated a better survival rate than those who did not.\textsuperscript{18,19}

In conclusion, the rarity of primary metaplastic SCC of the breast and the aggressiveness of this disease have led to poor out-

\textbf{FIGURE 2.} (A) Local invasion of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (H&E x4, green arrow), (B) SCC with necrosis (H&E x4, green arrow), (C) squamous differentiation with keratin pearl (H&E x10, green arrow), (D) photomicrograph of the mass demonstrates typical squamous differentiation with keratinization (H&E x10, green arrow), (E) typical pure squamous cell differentiation (H&E x10, green arrow), (F) squamous differentiation with keratin pearl (H&E x40; green arrow).
comes in response to chemotherapeutic regimens. Therefore, as standard chemotherapy and hormonal therapy approaches have not proven to be optimal in the treatment of metaplastic breast cancer, further laboratory research is needed. Clinical studies further comparing outcomes and treatment possibilities with invasive ductal carcinoma may not be feasible due to the rarity of the disease.
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